

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/732,731	12/10/2003	Timothy M. Holub	306230	6694
33042 7590 08/15/2006 EXAMINER				INER
	OIT & MAYER, LTD.	YIP, WINNIE S		
(SEATTLE OFFICE) TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA SUITE 4900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3636	
CHICAGO, IL	. 60601-6780		DATE MAILED: 08/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/732,731	HOLUB, TIMOTHY M.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Winnie Yip	3636			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOTAINS IN THE MAILING DOTAINS IN THE MAILING DOTAINS IN THE MAILING DOTAINS IN THE MAILING T	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 May 2006</u>.</li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is</li> </ol>					
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	za pante gadyle, 1000 0.2. 11, 10				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>21-31</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>21-31</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	·			
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment		_				
2)  Notice 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on May 22, 2006.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 21-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regard to claims 21-23 and 27-28, the term "is more vertical" is not clear whether the upper and lower pole segments of the "upright pole" are vertical as relative to what element. It causes the claims being vague and indefinite.

Regard to claim 28, the term "comprising two hubs" is inconsistent to the body of the claimed invention. It fails to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim 21 because claim 21 only claims "a hub", but not "at least one hub". It is an improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant should cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Regard to claim 30, the term "second structures" lacks a proper antecedent basis because it has not been defined in previous claims 21 and 29.

Clarification is required.

Art Unit: 3636

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claim 21-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (US Patent No. 5,732,726) in view of Lin et al. (US Patent No. 6,418,949).

Lee shows and teaches a shelter (10) comprising: a pole assembly comprising at least one hub (20 or 42), at least one horizontal pole (40) for connection between two hubs, a plurality of upright poles (24) each includes a lower pole segment (14) extending substantially vertical and an upper pole segment (16) angularly connected to the lower pole segment, the hub having a plurality of tubular members (50 or 60) with openings (54 or 64) and a locking slot formed on the tubular member therein, the upper pole segments of the upright pole and the horizontal pole each having an end being removaly and non-rotatably inserted and attached to the opening of hub respectively, and the slot of the tubular member receiving locking means formed on the end of the pole for removably and non-rotatably attached to the hub. Although Lee does not specifically define how the end of the pole being locked with the tubular member as claimed, Lin et al. teaches two tubular member having oblong cross sections with a flat portion that removably engage each other without rotation therebetween, and one of the tubular member having a spring engaging with a slot of another tubular member to form a non-rotatable interlocking the two tubular members together. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the shelter of Lee having the end of the pole and the tubular members of the hub having oblong cross sections with the ends of the poles having spring locking mechanism being in snapping fit into the slots formed on the tubular members of the hub as taught by Lin et al. for providing a removable attachment but nonrotatable connection between the hub and the poles.

Art Unit: 3636

3. Claim 21-27 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lu (US Patent No. 5,884,646) in view of Lee (US Patent No. 5,328,286).

Lu shows and teaches a shelter (1) having a pole assembly comprising at least one hub (3), a plurality of upright poles (1) each includes a lower pole segment extending substantially vertical and an upper pole segment angularly connected to the lower pole segment by a bend joint (C), the hub (3) having a plurality of engaging holes, each the upper pole segments of the upright pole having an end having a joint being removably inserted into the engaging hole of the hub respectively for remvably attaching the poles to the hub. Although Lu does not define the end of pole being removably attached to the hub in an non-rotatable manner. Lee teaches a shelter comprising a hub (1) having a plurality of openings (3) with an engaging step (4, 5), a plurality of poles (13) each having an end including a joint (2) having an inclined surface (7) and engaging rod (6) being removably inserted into the opening (3) and engaging the engaged step (4,5), therefore after an upper plate (8) covers the hub, the joint (2) of the end of the pole being attached to the hub with an non-rotatable manner, and the joint (2) of the end of the pole (13) and the end of the pole each having a oblong cross sections (16) being engaged each other in an nonrotatable manner. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the shelter of Lu having a hub having openings providing with steps to receive an inclined surface of a joint at the end of the pole, and the end of the pole and the joint having oblong cross-sections being non-rotatably attached together as taught by Lee for providing a removable attachment but non-rotatable connection between the hub and the poles.

Art Unit: 3636

4. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ju '646 in view of Lee '286 as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Lee '726.

Although either Ju and Lee '286 do not define the shelter including two hubs, Lee '726 teaches, as old and known in the art, a shelter including two hubs, one horizontal pole (40) removably connected between two hubs (42). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the shelter of Ju combined with Lee '286 having a horizontal pole connected between two hubs as taught by Lee '726 for providing a gable shelter with a larger area as desired.

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Citation

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Pui et al. '939 teach a portable structure comprising a plurality of poles and connecters having oblong cross-section being jointed together with a non-rotatable manner as similar to the claimed invention.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3636

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### **Inquiry Contacts**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Winnie Yip whose telephone number is 571-272-6870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on 571-272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Winnie Yip

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636

wsy

August 7, 2006